This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,450	03/04/2002		Thomas A. Chodacki	57,097 (72011)	3289
21874	7590	08/18/2003			
EDWARDS		ELL, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA 02209				JEFFERY, JOHN A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3742	2
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	,						
Office Action Summany	10/090,450	CHODACKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN INO DATE SAND	John A. Jeffery	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 (as renumbered) is/are pending							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> is/are: a	<u></u>	the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		·					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/090,450

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Renumbering of Claims

Claim 26 appears twice. Applicant is reminded that, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.126, the original numbering of the claims must be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When claims are added, they must be numbered by the applicant consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claim previously presented (whether entered or not).

Accordingly, claims 26 (second occurrence) and 27 have been renumbered as 27 and 28 respectively.

Duplicate Claim

Applicant is advised that should claim 2 be found allowable, claim 12 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else so close in content that they cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Since the dependency of claim 12 from claim 1 appears to be a typographical error, the examiner presumes for examination purposes that claim 12 was intended to depend from claim 11.

Claim Objections

Claims 19-28 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 19: In line 24, "triac" is misspelled.

Claim 20: In line 2, "igniter of" must be changed to "igniter connected to" for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 20, 25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Geary (US4935606). Geary (US4935606) discloses an igniter control system with switches 36, 37 connected to igniter 28, the switches operated via control device 29. The first voltage applied is a "full-on" 120V line voltage for 34 seconds. Then, a second higher voltage is applied to the igniter. However, the second voltage is appears to the igniter as its rated voltage by duty cycling the second voltage, thus regulating the second voltage. Col. 5, line 58 - col. 6, line 22. See also the language of claim 1 (col. 8, lines 12-42). Regarding claim 27, note the half-wave rectifier that duty cycles the second voltage in col. 6, lines 14-16.

Art Unit: 3742

Joint Inventors--Common Ownership Presumed

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligations under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5-19, 21-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geary (US4935606) in view of Donnelly et al (US6521869). The claims differ from the previously cited prior art in calling for a voltage-measuring device coupled to the control device to determine the full-on time period based on the measured voltage. Providing an igniter control system that measures voltage to

Art Unit: 3742

determine the corresponding igniter on-time is conventional and well known in the art as evidenced by Donnelly et al (US6521869) noting col. 3, line 45 - col. 4, line 4. In Donnelly et al (US6521869), a microprocessor M1 measures line voltage (col. 3, lines 50-52) and selects a switching sequence depending on the voltage value from a look-up table. Specifically, the igniter on-time increases as the voltage level decreases. Col. 4, lines 61-69. In view of Donnelly et al (US6521869), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a microprocessor that selects an appropriate on-time responsive to measured voltage in Geary (US4935606) so that the igniter is adequately warmed up and is energized according to the actual voltage level.

The claims also differ from the previously cited prior art in calling for a triac. Although Geary (US4935606) discloses the switching element to be an SCR, triacs are well known igniter switching devices for AC voltages as evidenced by Donnelly et al (US6521869), noting triac Q1. In view of Donnelly et al (US6521869), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a triac in lieu of an SCR in the previously described apparatus so that AC voltages could be switched automatically.

Other Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art should be both separately considered and considered in conjunction with the previously cited prior art when responding to this action. US 612, US 300, US 612, US 979, JP 850 disclose igniter control systems relevant to the instant invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,450

Art Unit: 3742

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Jeffery at telephone number (703) 306-4601 or fax (703) 305-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM EST. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

Before Final	(703) 872-9302
After Final	(703) 872-9303
Customer Service	(703) 872-9301

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

JOHN A. JEFFERY PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/12/03